



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,484		11/28/2001	Yen Choo	8325-2004 G8-US1	8325-2004 G8-US1 2713	
20855	7590	02/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBINS &			SULLIVAN,	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
SUITE 230	INCADE	KO KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				1636		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/996,484	CHOO ET AL.	1				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	``·····				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres:	s				
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION OF THIS APPLICATION OF	TION FOR ALLOWANG ation. A proper reply to a places the application	CE. a in in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		;				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The approprioricinally set in the final Offic	e MPEP ate extension ate extension ce action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amondment(s) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) \(\subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);					
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	fying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT pl	ace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	-	o issues which were ne	wly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-5,7,8,10.</u>	11 12 18 21 26 21 34 25 and 28 47						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	m(s)(P10-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:		Anne-Marie	Falk				
		ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 34 introduces new limitations requiring that both the first and second polypeptides of the switching system bind to DNA and that the ligand binds to both polypeptides. As the previously examined claims did not recite these limitations, an additional search is required to determine patentability of the amended claims. Adequacy of the disclosure with regard to enablement and written description must also be reconsidered in view of the new limitations. In that regard, it is noted that page 53, line 18 of the specification, cited by applicant in support of the limitation that ligand bind to both polypeptide components of the complex, does not appear to disclose the invention as claimed. The passage cited reads, in full, as follows: "With regard to protein switches, the methods of the present invention typically involve using a tripartite configuration of one or more first polypeptide molecules, one or more ligands and one or more second polypeptide [sic] as described above to screen for (i) polypeptide biding molecules that bind to (another) target polypeptide in a manner that is modulatable by a ligand and/or (ii) ligands that modulate binding of two polypeptides to each other." Nothing in this teaching would suggest that the protein switch be limited to those in which ligand binds to both polypeptide components. Therefore, the limitation appears to add new matter..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with regard to patentability of the claims over the art of record are predicated on entry of the amendment and, as the amendment has not been entered, are moot. Applicant also comments that the Office does not make clear which of the proteins disclosed in Table 1 of McEwan binds DNA. On the contrary, the Final Office action states, "McEwan et al. describes in detail the DNA binding domain comprised within the glucocorticoid, estrogen and retinoid receptor proteins comprised within the switching systems disclosed in Table 1" (page 3). Thus, the Office Action makes clear that at least the glucocorticoid, estrogen and retinoid receptor proteins of the complex bind DNA. This is further illustrated for the glucocorticoid receptor in Figure 4.